## APPENDIX H GROUNDWATER RESAMPLING RESULTS



August 7, 2000

## IT Corporation

312 Directors Drive Knoxville, TN 37923-4799 Tel. 865.690.3211 Fax. 865.690.3626

A Member of The IT Group

Mr. Ellis Pope

Project No. 774645

U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, Mobile District

Attn: CESAM-EN-GE (Pope)

109 St. Joseph Street Mobile, Alabama 36602

Contract: Contract No. DACA21-96-D-0018/CK05

Fort McClellan, Alabama

Subject:

Groundwater Resampling Results

Dear Mr. Pope:

This letter report summarizes the results of the resampling effort conducted by IT Corporation to evaluate the effect of turbidity on metals concentrations in groundwater at Fort McClellan (FTMC).

At approximately 20 percent of the wells installed by IT at FTMC, the turbidity of the groundwater at the time of sample collection could not be reduced below 20 NTUs. In accordance with the installation-wide sampling and analysis plan (SAP), groundwater samples were collected for chemical analysis after five well volumes were removed from the well, regardless of the turbidity of the water. High turbidity is believed to have caused artificially high metals results in these groundwater samples due to suspended particulates. To determine whether high turbidity caused the elevated metals results, IT resampled five wells (four temporary wells and one permanent well) that previously had high turbidity at the time the original samples were collected. As shown in Table 1, the turbidities of the five wells originally sampled ranged from 211.4 nephelometric turbidity units (NTU) to greater than 1000 NTUs.

For the resampling effort, IT sampled the wells in accordance with procedures outlined in the SAP, however, at purge rates of between 0.1 and 0.5 liters per minute (i.e., low flow). IT used a peristaltic pump at three well locations and a Fultz in-line pump at two locations. The wells were purged until field parameters (pH, temperature, conductivity, and dissolved oxygen) stabilized and turbidity readings were below 10 NTUs.

The results of the low-flow resampling study are presented in Table 1. As shown in Table 1, the low turbidity samples had fewer metals detected and lower metals concentrations overall than the high turbidity groundwater samples. For most metals (except calcium, magnesium, potassium, sodium, and thallium), the concentrations in the low turbidity samples were significantly lower (1-2 orders of magnitude) than in the high turbidity samples. Several metals (beryllium, cadmium, chromium, lead, mercury, and selenium) that were detected in one or more of the high turbidity samples were not detected in the low turbidity samples. Certain metals (i.e., calcium, magnesium, potassium, and sodium), with a few exceptions, showed a slight decrease in the low turbidity samples; however, these metals results were generally within the same order of magnitude as the high turbidity samples.

The low-flow sampling method resulted in fewer detected metals and overall lower metals concentrations. Most metals concentrations decreased significantly using the low-flow procedure. The study demonstrates that high turbidity at the time of sample collection results in elevated metals concentrations. With the exception of barium (one sample), manganese (one sample), and thallium (two samples), the metals concentrations in the resamples were below SSSLs and/or background concentrations. The barium and manganese results were within the range of background values. IT will discuss the results of this study in the SI reports to strengthen the assertion that high turbidity causes elevated metals results.

In the future, IT will attempt to reduce turbidity below 10 NTUs using the groundwater sampling procedure specified in the SAP. However, if field parameters have not stabilized and turbidity is not less than 10 NTUs after five well volumes have been removed, then the following procedures will be implemented:

- Purging will continue if a decreasing trend is observed in the turbidity readings. The groundwater sample will be collected if turbidity is below 10 NTUs.
- If turbidity is not less than 10 NTUs, then low-flow purging will commence. Purging will continue and water quality parameters will be recorded for a maximum of one well volume.
- If stabilization has not been achieved and/or turbidity remains greater than 10 NTUs, IT will stop purging. IT will allow the well to equilibrate and samples will be collected within 24 hours using a Teflon bailer.

If you have questions, or need further information, please contact me at (770) 663-1429 or Steve Moran at (865) 694-7361.

Sincerely,

Project Manager

Attachments

Lisa Kingsbury, FTMC Distribution:

Bart Reedy, EPA Region IV

Dennis Druck, CHPPM

Philip Stroud, ADEM

Hugh Vick, Gannett Fleming

bc:

S. Moran

J. Jenkins

J. Yacoub

J. Tarr

T. Winton

Central Files

Table 1

Metals Concentrations in Groundwater

High Turbidity Samples vs. Low Turbidity Samples

Fort McClellan, Calhoun County, Alabama

Parcel		. FTA-145	22 BTA-145	FTA-145	FTA-145	FTA-151	FTA:/5i	GSBP-501	GSBP.501	PPMP-173	PPMP-173
Sample Location		FTA-145-GP06	FTATI45-GP06	FTA-145-GP12	FTA US GP12	FTA-151-GP05	FTA-151/GP06	GSBP-501-MW02	GSBP-501-MW02	PPMP-173-GP03	PPMP-173-GP03
Sample Number		CY3005	CY3005R	CY3012	GY3012R	BJ3005	ALCOHER !	BX3004	EX3DO4R	KF3004	KF3004R
Sample Date		12-Jan-99	6 Jul 00	18-Dec-98	6.30400	2-Dec-98	45 30 50	5-Jan-00	7-Jul-00	17-Feb-99	5-00-00
Turbidity		>1000	3.72	612.40	5.42	309.00	2.82	>1000	8.47	211.40	8.64
Metal	Units	Result Qual	Result ( Qual	Result Qual	Result Qual-	Result Qual	Result   Oual	Result Qual	Result Qual	Result Qual	Result: Qual
Aluminum	mg/L	5.33E+01 J	-,1.09E-01	6.81E+01 J	2.95E-01	3.64E+01	3147E-04	4.93E+01	6.24E-01	1.98E+01	3.08E-01
Antimony	mg/L	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	-MO	ND	ND.	ND	ND
Arsenic	mg/L	1.06E-02 J	3.20E-03	1.12E-02	ND	1.12E-02	ND	4.51E-02	ND	5.09E-02	ND
Barium	mg/L	4.15E-01 J	7.50E-02	5.13E-01	4.39E-02-	5.16E-01	3.64E-01	2.40E-01	1.76E-02	2.08E-01	3.34E-02
Beryllium	mg/L	2.80E-03 J	CON SEC	2.90E-03 B	AD NO.	2.20E-03 J	NO	9.20E-03	ND	5.80E-03	- NO
Cadmium	mg/L	ND	ND .	ND	NO:	ND	NO	1.00E-03 J	ND -	7.50E-03	NO
Calcium	mg/L	1.12E+02 J	7.85E+01	1.86E+01	1 32E+01	6.87E+01	5.04E+01	4.97E+01	4,01 E+01	3.61E+01	4.28E+01
Chromium	mg/L	1.27E-01 J	es ND	8.71E-02	no and NO	7.70E-02	. NO	6.70E-02	ND.	1.98E-02	ND
Cobalt	mg/L	1.63E-02 J	270E-03	5.18E-02	#40Edts	3.18E-02 J	ND.	2.35E-02 J	ND	6.84E-02	ND
Copper	mg/L	7.93E-02 J	2.40E403	9.99E-02	ND	6.52E-02	MD	5.82E-02 J	9.50E-03	4.31E-02	ND
Iron	mg/L	7.20E+01 J	-3,99E+00	9.25E+01 J	144 47E-01	5.64E+01	9.59E-0174***	7.23E+01	5.62E-01	8.49E+01	6,42E-01
Lead	mg/L	3.33E-02 J	ND	4.71E-02	Page ND	3.27E-02	ND	1.87E-01	'ND	4.22E-02	ND
Magnesium	mg/L	6.23E+01 J	3,80E+01	3.42E+01	1.25E+04	3.62E+01	1.476401	3.13E+01	2,28E+01	9.14E+00	7,30E+00
Manganese	mg/L	1.34E+00 J	1.56E+00	1.62E+00	7,65E(0)	7.10E-01	1,658-01	3,67E+00	9.825-02	1.78E+00	1.09E-02
Mercury	mg/L	2.50E-04 J	ND.	1.40E-04 B	NO.	9.30E-05 J	ALCONO.	1.30E-03	ND.	1,10E-04 J	ND
Nickel	mg/L	1.08E-01 J	470E403	1.29E-01	2.78F-02	8.12E-02	NO .	5.34E-02	5.70E-03	4.37E-01	6,20E-03
Potassium	mg/L	1.51E+01 J	-4:87E+00	1.32E+01	. 2.45E+00	1.03E+01	1.93E+00	4.65E+00 J	-4.72E-01	4.13E+00 J	3.70E-01
Selenium	mg/L	ND	ND	5.40E-03	NO ·	ND	- Personal NO Personal	ND	NO .	ND	ND
Silver	mg/L		ne 💝 ND	ND	ND-	ND	TNO	ND	'ND	ND	ND
Sodium	mg/L		1,16E+01	7.33E+00	46 58E4 <b>0</b> 0	3.37E+01	-293EH01"	2.72E+00 J	2.75E+00	4.29E+00 J	3,48E+00
Thallium	mg/L	5.00E-03 J	- 6,80E-03	6.50E-03 B	5.40E-03	ND	NDS.	ND	ND .	ND	ND.
Vanadium	mg/L	9.26E-02 J	NO	4.11E-02 J	TAND THE	5.20E-02	* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *	3.06E-01	3.90E-03	3.98E-02 J	ND
Zinc	mg/L	2.03E-01 J	14,46E-02	3.12E-01	141.38E402	1.25E-01	ST SET NO.	2.90E-01	-2:03E-02	1.06E+00	1.76E-02
# of detected metals		19	(4)	20	12	18	8 ***	19	12	19	10

Shaded = resample results

mg/L - Milligrams per liter

ND - Not detected

Qual - Data validation qualifier

B - Analyte detected in laboratory or field blank at concentration greater than the reporting limit (and greater than zero).

J - Result is greater than stated method detection limit but less than or equal to specified reporting limit.